Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/810,680	KAPLER ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Daniel F. Hajnik	2628
All Participants:	Status of Application	า: <u>Non-final</u>
(1) <u>Daniel F. Hajnik (Examiner)</u> .	(3)	
(2) Grant Tisdall (Applicant's Representative).	(4)	
Date of Interview: 2 October 2006	Time: <u>12:00</u>	*
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ A  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	Applicant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 43 and 57  Prior art documents discussed:		,
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE CApplicant agreed upon proposed examiner's amendment		
Part III.		
<ul> <li>☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sepdirectly resulted in the allowance of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sepdid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief such as the provide is a sepdid not result in resolution of all issues.</li> </ul>	on. The examiner will provide a arate record of the substance of	written summary of the substance of the interview, since the interview
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Ap	plicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)